### Many-Particle Simulations using the Fast Boundary Method

NSF EAR 0911094 and EAR 1215800

#### Tyler Drombosky - drombosk@math.umd.edu

University of Maryland College Park

Applied Mathematics and Scientific Computation

### Saswata Hier-Majumder - saswata@umd.edu

University of Maryland College Park

Department of Geology

Center for Computational Sciences and Mathematical Modeling

### Overview

- Introduction to Stokes Flow and Boundary Methods.
- Computational Difficulties and Acceleration Techniques.
- Analysis and Implementation Details.
- Overview of Application Areas.

### Geophysical Applications

## Geophysical Applications

- Micro-scale simulation:
  - Heterogenous flows.
  - Exploring the evolution at the Core-Mantle Boundary.
  - Anisotropic grain-grain stresses.
  - Large viscous flows (volcanic flows).
  - Binary fluid separation.



Crystal Grain Structure [Edward Pleshakov, Wikimedia 2008]



Micro bubbles Map of ULVZs [Manga et al. 1998]

### The Physical Problem

 $-\nabla P + \mu \nabla^2 \boldsymbol{u} + \rho \boldsymbol{b} = 0$ 

- Each domain may have different physical properties.
- Explicitly track boundary nodes during creeping flows.
- Possibility for unbounded domains.



 The Stokes Boundary Integral Equation (BIE) for multiple domains [Pozrikidis 2001].

$$\frac{1+\lambda_q}{2}u_j(\boldsymbol{x}) = u_j^{\infty}(\boldsymbol{x}_0) - \sum_{p=1}^{P} \frac{1}{4\pi C a} \int_{\Gamma_p} \Delta f_i^{(p)}(\boldsymbol{x}) U_{ij}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x}_0) \,\mathrm{d}\Gamma_m(\boldsymbol{x}) \\ + \sum_{p=1}^{P} \frac{1-\lambda_p}{4\pi} \int_{\Gamma_p}^{\mathcal{P}\mathcal{V}} u_i(\boldsymbol{x}) T_{ijk}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x}_0) \hat{n}_k(\boldsymbol{x}) \,\mathrm{d}\Gamma_p(\boldsymbol{x})$$

$$\mathcal{C}a = \frac{\mu_m u_c}{\gamma_c} \qquad \qquad \lambda_p =$$

 The Stokes Boundary Integral Equation (BIE) for multiple domains [Pozrikidis 2001].

$$\frac{1+\lambda_q}{2}u_j(\boldsymbol{x}) = u_j^{\infty}(\boldsymbol{x}_0) - \sum_{p=1}^{P} \frac{1}{4\pi C a} \int_{\Gamma_p} \Delta f_i^{(p)}(\boldsymbol{x}) U_{ij}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x}_0) \,\mathrm{d}\Gamma_m(\boldsymbol{x}) \\ + \sum_{p=1}^{P} \frac{1-\lambda_p}{4\pi} \int_{\Gamma_p}^{\mathcal{P}\mathcal{V}} u_i(\boldsymbol{x}) T_{ijk}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x}_0) \hat{n}_k(\boldsymbol{x}) \,\mathrm{d}\Gamma_p(\boldsymbol{x})$$

$$\lambda_p = \frac{\mu_p}{\mu_m}$$

 The Stokes Boundary Integral Equation (BIE) for multiple domains [Pozrikidis 2001].

 $\frac{1+\lambda_q}{2}u_j(\boldsymbol{x}) = u_j^{\infty}(\boldsymbol{x}_0) - \sum_{p=1}^{P} \frac{1}{4\pi Ca} \int_{\Gamma_p} \Delta f_i^{(p)}(\boldsymbol{x}) U_{ij}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x}_0) \,\mathrm{d}\Gamma_m(\boldsymbol{x})$  $+ \sum_{p=1}^{P} \frac{1-\lambda_p}{4\pi} \int_{\Gamma_p}^{\mathcal{PV}} u_i(\boldsymbol{x}) T_{ijk}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x}_0) \hat{n}_k(\boldsymbol{x}) \,\mathrm{d}\Gamma_p(\boldsymbol{x})$ 

$$\mathcal{C}a = \frac{\mu_m u_c}{\gamma_c}$$

 $\lambda_p = \frac{\mu p}{\mu_m}$ 

 The Stokes Boundary Integral Equation (BIE) for multiple domains [Pozrikidis 2001].

 $\frac{1+\lambda_q}{2}u_j(\boldsymbol{x}) = u_j^{\infty}(\boldsymbol{x}_0) - \sum_{p=1}^{P} \frac{1}{4\pi Ca} \int_{\Gamma_p} \Delta f_i^{(p)}(\boldsymbol{x}) U_{ij}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x}_0) \,\mathrm{d}\Gamma_m(\boldsymbol{x})$  $+ \sum_{p=1}^{P} \frac{1-\lambda_p}{4\pi} \int_{\Gamma_p}^{\mathcal{PV}} u_i(\boldsymbol{x}) T_{ijk}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x}_0) \hat{n}_k(\boldsymbol{x}) \,\mathrm{d}\Gamma_p(\boldsymbol{x})$ 

$$\mathcal{C}a = \frac{\mu_m u_c}{\gamma_c}$$

$$\lambda_p = \frac{\mu_p}{\mu_m}$$

 The Stokes Boundary Integral Equation (BIE) for multiple domains [Pozrikidis 2001].

$$\frac{1+\lambda_q}{2}u_j(\boldsymbol{x}) = u_j^{\infty}(\boldsymbol{x}_0) - \sum_{p=1}^{P} \frac{1}{4\pi Ca} \int_{\Gamma_p} \Delta f_i^{(p)}(\boldsymbol{x}) U_{ij}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x}_0) \,\mathrm{d}\Gamma_m(\boldsymbol{x}) \\ + \sum_{p=1}^{P} \frac{1-\lambda_p}{4\pi} \int_{\Gamma_p}^{\mathcal{P}\mathcal{V}} u_i(\boldsymbol{x}) T_{ijk}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x}_0) \hat{n}_k(\boldsymbol{x}) \,\mathrm{d}\Gamma_p(\boldsymbol{x})$$

$$\mathcal{C}a = \frac{\mu_m u_c}{\gamma_c}$$

$$\lambda_p = \frac{\mu_p}{\mu_m}$$

 The Stokes Boundary Integral Equation (BIE) for multiple domains [Pozrikidis 2001].

$$\frac{1+\lambda_q}{2}u_j(\boldsymbol{x}) = u_j^{\infty}(\boldsymbol{x}_0) - \sum_{p=1}^{P} \frac{1}{4\pi Ca} \int_{\Gamma_p} \Delta f_i^{(p)}(\boldsymbol{x}) U_{ij}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x}_0) \,\mathrm{d}\Gamma_m(\boldsymbol{x}) \\ + \sum_{p=1}^{P} \frac{1-\lambda_p}{4\pi} \int_{\Gamma_p}^{\mathcal{PV}} u_i(\boldsymbol{x}) T_{ijk}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x}_0) \hat{n}_k(\boldsymbol{x}) \,\mathrm{d}\Gamma_p(\boldsymbol{x})$$

$$\mathcal{C}a = \frac{\mu_m u_c}{\gamma_c}$$

$$\lambda_p = \frac{\mu_p}{\mu_m}$$

### **Direct Computation**

- Collocation Method.
- Generally dense and asymmetric.
- Kernel functions have infinite support.
- Limits size of problem.
   (32,768 nodes is 1GB matrix).







**Direct Method** 

- Approximate the integral over a boundary element.
- Move series expansions up and down a tree.
  - Translate.
  - Combine.



- Approximate the integral over a boundary element.
- Move series expansions up and down a tree.
  - Translate.
  - Combine.
- All distant interactions represented by a series.



**Direct Method** 

- Approximate the integral over a boundary element.
- Move series expansions up and down a tree.
  - Translate.
  - Combine.
- All distant interactions represented by a series.
- Only nearby interactions are computed directly.



**Direct Method** 

- Approximate the integral over a boundary element.
- Move series expansions up and down a tree.
  - Translate.
  - Combine.
- All distant interactions represented by a series.
- Only nearby interactions are computed directly.



Direct Method

• Tree structure results in  $\mathcal{O}(N \log N)$  multiplication!

- Approximate the integral over a boundary element.
- Move series expansions up and down a tree.
  - Translate.
  - Combine.
- All distant interactions represented by a series.
- Only nearby interactions are computed directly.



Fast Method

• Tree structure results in  $\mathcal{O}(N \log N)$  multiplication!



# • Example for Potential: $\int_{\Gamma_e} G(z_0, z) t(z) d\Gamma_e$

# **Far Field Expansion** • Example for Potential: $\int_{\Gamma_e} G(z_0, z) t(z) d\Gamma_e$

- Rewrite around a new point [Liu 2006]:

 $G(z_0, z) = \log(z_0 - z) = \log(z_0 - z_c) + \log\left(1 - \frac{z - z_c}{z_0 - z_c}\right)$ 

Far Field Expansion • Example for Potential:  $\int_{\Gamma} G(z_0, z) t(z) d\Gamma_e$ Rewrite around a new point [Liu 2006]:  $G(z_0, z) = \log(z_0 - z) = \log(z_0 - z_c) + \log\left(1 - \frac{z - z_c}{z_0 - z_c}\right)$ Taylor Expand (requires  $|z - z_c| \ll |z_0 - z_c|$ )  $= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} O_k (z_0 - z_c) I_k (z - z_c) \quad O_k = \begin{cases} \log(z) & k = 0\\ \frac{(k-1)!}{z^k} & k > 0 \end{cases} \quad I_k = \frac{z^k}{k!}$ 

Far Field Expansion • Example for Potential:  $\int_{\Gamma} G(z_0, z) t(z) d\Gamma_e$ Rewrite around a new point [Liu 2006]:  $G(z_0, z) = \log(z_0 - z) = \log(z_0 - z_c) + \log\left(1 - \frac{z - z_c}{z_0 - z_c}\right)$ • Taylor Expand (requires  $|z - z_c| \ll |z_0 - z_c|$ )  $= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} O_k(z_0 - z_c) I_k(z - z_c) \quad O_k = \begin{cases} \log(z) & k = 0\\ \frac{(k-1)!}{z^k} & k > 0 \end{cases} \quad I_k = \frac{z^k}{k!}$  $\int_{\Gamma_e} G(z_0, z) t(z) \, d\Gamma_e = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} O_k(z_0 - z_c) \int_{\Gamma_e} I_k(z - z_c) t(z) \, d\Gamma_e$ 

Far Field Expansion • Example for Potential:  $\int_{\Gamma} G(z_0, z) t(z) d\Gamma_e$ Rewrite around a new point [Liu 2006]:  $G(z_0, z) = \log(z_0 - z) = \log(z_0 - z_c) + \log\left(1 - \frac{z - z_c}{z_0 - z_c}\right)$ • Taylor Expand (requires  $|z - z_c| \ll |z_0 - z_c|$ )  $= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} O_k(z_0 - z_c) I_k(z - z_c) \quad O_k = \begin{cases} \log(z) & k = 0\\ \frac{(k-1)!}{z^k} & k > 0 \end{cases} \quad I_k = \frac{z^k}{k!}$  $\int_{\Gamma_e} G(z_0, z) t(z) \, d\Gamma_e = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} O_k(z_0 - z_c) \int_{\Gamma_e} I_k(z - z_c) t(z) \, d\Gamma_e$ 







# Near Field Expansion $\int_{\Gamma_e} G(z_0, z) t(z) \, d\Gamma_e = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} O_k(z_0 - z_c) \int_{\Gamma_e} I_k(z - z_c) t(z) \, d\Gamma_e$ $= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} O_k(z_0 - z_c) M_k(z_c)$

k=0

Near Field Expansion  

$$\int_{\Gamma_e} G(z_0, z)t(z) \, d\Gamma_e = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} O_k(z_0 - z_c) \int_{\Gamma_e} I_k(z - z_c)t(z) \, d\Gamma_e$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} O_k(z_0 - z_c) M_k(z_c)$$

$$= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} I_l(z_0 - z_L)(-1)^l \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} O_{l+k}(z_L - z_c) M_k(z_c)$$

Thursday, 5 December 13

Near Field Expansion  

$$\int_{\Gamma_e} G(z_0, z)t(z) \, d\Gamma_e = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} O_k(z_0 - z_c) \int_{\Gamma_e} I_k(z - z_c)t(z) \, d\Gamma_e$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} O_k(z_0 - z_c) M_k(z_c)$$

$$= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} I_l(z_0 - z_L) (-1)^l \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} O_{l+k}(z_L - z_c) M_k(z_c)$$

Thursday, 5 December 13







### Geophysical Applications

## Geophysical Applications

- Micro-scale simulation:
  - Heterogenous flows.
  - Exploring the evolution at the Core-Mantle Boundary.
  - Anisotropic grain-grain stresses.
  - Large viscous flows (volcanic flows).
  - Binary fluid separation.



Crystal Grain Structure [Edward Pleshakov, Wikimedia 2008]



Micro bubbles Map of ULVZs [Manga et al. 1998]

### Grain Simulations



Model a matrix with hundreds of deformable grains.

- Grain-grain interaction/contact.
- Deformation and rotation in flow.
- Derive material properties of flow matrix.

# Scaling

# Scaling

- On Blacklight:
  - Allow for more parameter sweeps of current models.
  - Hundreds of particles.



Blacklight [Pittsburgh Supercomputing Center]

# Scaling

- On Blacklight:
  - Allow for more parameter sweeps of current models.
  - Hundreds of particles.
- On Stampeed:
  - 6th Fastest Supercomputer
  - Scale to thousands of particles and beyond...



#### Blacklight [Pittsburgh Supercomputing Center]



Stampede [Texas Advanced Computing Center]

### Geophysical Results



Thursday, 5 December 13

### Geophysical Results



Thursday, 5 December 13

### The Big Ideas

## The Big Ideas

- Fast Multipole Boundary Element Method provides fast method for solving PDEs.
  - Same speed as traditional FDM, FVM, and FEM.
  - Explicitly tracks interfaces.

### The Big Ideas

- Fast Multipole Boundary Element Method provides fast method for solving PDEs.
  - Same speed as traditional FDM, FVM, and FEM.
  - Explicitly tracks interfaces.
- Have a multi-domain viscous fluid you need modeled?
  - Material Science, Chemical Engineering, Biology...
     ... and of course Geophysics!

### Many-Particle Simulations using the Fast Boundary Method

NSF EAR 0911094 and EAR 1215800

#### Tyler Drombosky - drombosk@math.umd.edu

University of Maryland College Park

Applied Mathematics and Scientific Computation

### Saswata Hier-Majumder - saswata@umd.edu

University of Maryland College Park

Department of Geology

Center for Computational Sciences and Mathematical Modeling

### References

- X. Gao, "Numerical evaluation of two-dimensional singular boundary integrals--Theory and Fortran code", <u>Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics</u>, Volume 118, Pages 44-64, 2006
- E.J. Garnero and A.K. McNamara, "Structure and Dynamics of Earth's Lower Mantle", <u>Science</u>, Volume 320, Issue 5876, Pages 626-628, 2008
- S. Hier-Majumder and J. Revenaugh, "Relationship between the viscosity and topography of the ultralow-velocity zone near the core-mantle boundary", <u>Earth and Planetary Science</u> <u>Letters</u>, Volume 299, Pages 382-386, 2010
- D.M. Koch and D.L. Koch, "Numerical and theoretical solutions for a drop spreading below a free fluid surface", <u>Journal of fluid mechanics</u>, Volume 287, Issue 1, Pages 251-278, 1994
- L.G. Leal, <u>Advanced Transport Phenomena: Fluid Mechanics and Convective Transport</u> <u>Processes</u>, Cambridge Series in Chemical Engineering, 2007
- T.M. Lassak et al., "Core-mantle boundary topography as a possible constraint on lower mantle chemistry and dynamics", <u>Earth and Planetary Science Letters</u>, Volue 289, Pagrs 232-241, 2010
- Y.J. Liu and N. Nishimura, "The fast multipole boundary element method for potential problems: a tutorial", <u>Engineering Analysis with Boundary Elements</u>, Volume 30, Issue 2, Pages 371-381, 2006

### References

- Y.J. Liu, "A new fast multipole boundary element method for solving 2-D Stokes flow problems based on a dual BIE formulation", <u>Engineering Analysis with Boundary Elements</u>, Volume 32, Issue 2, Pages 139-151, 2008
- M. Manga and H.A. Stone, "Buoyancy-Driven Interactions Between 2 Deformable Viscous Drops", <u>Journal of fluid mechanics</u>, Volume 256, Pages 647-683, 1993
- A.K. McNamara, E.J. Garnero, and S. Rost, "Tracking deep mantle reservoirs with ultra-low velocity zones", <u>Earth and Planetary Science Letters</u>, Volume 299, Pages 1-9, 2010
- C. Pozrikidis, <u>Boundary integral and singularity methods for linearized viscous flow</u>, Cambridge Texts in Applied Mathematics, 1992
- C. Pozrikidis, "Interfacial Dynamics for Stokes Flow", <u>Journal of Computational Physics</u>, Volume 169, Issue 2, Pages 250-301, 2001
- S. Rost et al., "Seismological constraints on a possible plume root at the core-mantle boundary", <u>Nature</u>, Volume 435, Issue 7042, Pages 666-669, 2005
- M.S. Warren and J.K. Salmon, "A Parallel Hashed Oct-Tree N-Body Algorithm", <u>Proceedings of the 1993 ACM/IEEE conference on Supercomputing</u>, AMC, Pages 12-21, 1993